

Department of Health and Human Services  
**Office of Inspector General**



Office of Audit Services

August 2025 | OAS-25-07-047

# **Companion Data Services, LLC, Did Not Claim Some Allowable Medicare Excess Plan Costs Through Its Incurred Cost Proposals**

# REPORT HIGHLIGHTS



August 2025 | OAS-25-07-047

## **Companion Data Services, LLC, Did Not Claim Some Allowable Medicare Excess Plan Costs Through Its Incurred Cost Proposals**

### **Why OIG Did This Audit**

- CMS reimburses Medicare contractors for a portion of their nonqualified plan costs. A nonqualified plan is a type of tax-deferred, employer-sponsored retirement plan.
- HHS, OIG, Office of Audit Services, reviews the cost elements related to qualified defined-benefit, postretirement benefit, and any other pension-related cost elements claimed by Medicare contractors through Incurred Cost Proposals (ICPs).
- Previous OIG audits found that Medicare contractors did not always comply with Federal requirements when claiming nonqualified plan costs for Medicare reimbursement.
- This audit examined whether the calendar years (CYs) 2017 through 2021 Excess Plan costs that Companion Data Services, LLC (CDS), claimed for Medicare reimbursement, and reported on its ICPs, were allowable and correctly claimed.

### **What OIG Found**

- CDS claimed Excess Plan costs of \$558,675 for Medicare reimbursement, through its ICPs, for CYs 2017 through 2021; however, we determined that the allowable Excess Plan costs during this period were \$649,916. The difference, \$91,241, represented allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs that CDS did not claim on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021.
- CDS did not claim these allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs primarily because it used incorrect indirect cost rates when claiming those costs for Medicare reimbursement.

### **What OIG Recommends**

We recommend that CDS work with CMS to ensure that its final settlement of contract costs reflects an increase in Medicare Excess Plan costs of \$91,241 for CYs 2017 through 2021.

CDS concurred with our finding but did not specifically indicate concurrence or nonconcurrence with our recommendation. CDS said that it would work with CMS to ensure the final settlement and collection of contract costs.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
Why We Did This Audit.....	1
Objective .....	1
Background .....	1
Companion Data Services, LLC, and Medicare .....	1
Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina Excess Plan .....	2
Accounting Methodologies.....	2
Incurred Cost Proposal Audits .....	2
How We Conducted This Audit.....	3
FINDING .....	3
Allocable Companion Data Services, LLC, Excess Plan Medicare Segment Costs Understated.....	3
Allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare Segment Costs Understated .....	4
Calculation of Allowable Excess Plan Costs .....	5
RECOMMENDATION .....	6
AUDITEE COMMENTS.....	6
APPENDICES	
A: Audit Scope and Methodology .....	7
B: Federal Requirements Related to Reimbursement of Nonqualified Plan Costs.....	9
C: Allocable Medicare Excess Plan Costs for Companion Data Services, LLC, for Calendar Years 2017 Through 2021.....	10
D: Auditee Comments.....	14

## INTRODUCTION

### WHY WE DID THIS AUDIT

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) reimburses a portion of its contractors' nonqualified plan costs.<sup>1</sup> In claiming nonqualified plan costs, contractors must follow cost reimbursement principles contained in the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS), and the Medicare contracts. Previous Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits found that Medicare contractors did not always comply with Federal requirements when claiming nonqualified plan costs for Medicare reimbursement.

At CMS's request, the Department of Health and Human Services, OIG, Office of Audit Services, reviews the cost elements related to qualified defined-benefit, nonqualified defined-benefit, postretirement benefit (PRB), and any other pension-related cost elements claimed by Medicare administrative contractors (MACs) and other CAS-covered and FAR-covered contracts through Incurred Cost Proposals (ICPs).

For this audit of Companion Data Services, LLC (CDS), a Medicare contractor, we examined the Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina (BCBS South Carolina) Excess Plan (Excess Plan) CDS Medicare segment and Partial Medicare segment costs that CDS claimed for Medicare reimbursement and reported on its ICPs.

### OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether the calendar years (CYs) 2017 through 2021 Excess Plan costs that CDS claimed for Medicare reimbursement, and reported on its ICPs, were allowable and correctly claimed.

### BACKGROUND

#### Companion Data Services, LLC, and Medicare

During our audit period, CDS was a subsidiary of BCBS South Carolina, whose home office is in Columbia, South Carolina. CDS administers the Virtual Data Center contract, effective November 15, 2012. Upon creation of the CDS Medicare segment, BCBS South Carolina and CDS elected to follow CAS regulations regarding segmented accounting.

During our audit period, BCBS South Carolina had three Medicare segments that participated in its Excess Plan: Palmetto Government Benefits Administrator, LLC (Palmetto); CDS; and Partial

---

<sup>1</sup> A nonqualified plan is a tax-deferred, employer-sponsored retirement plan that does not meet the standards of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, P.L. No 93-406 (Sept. 2, 1974).

Medicare.<sup>2</sup> This report addresses CDS's compliance with the provisions of the Federal requirements and its Medicare contract in claiming Excess Plan costs. We are addressing the Excess Plan costs claimed for the CGS Administrators, LLC (CGS), and Palmetto Medicare segments in separate audits.

The disclosure statement that CDS submits to CMS states that CDS uses pooled cost accounting. Medicare contractors use pooled cost accounting to calculate the indirect cost rates (whose computations include pension, PRB, Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan III, and Excess Plan costs) that they submit on their ICPs. Medicare contractors use the indirect cost rates to calculate the contract costs that they report on their ICPs. In turn, CMS uses these indirect cost rates in determining the final indirect cost rates for each contract.<sup>3</sup>

### **Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina Excess Plan**

BCBS South Carolina sponsors the Excess Plan. The purpose of the Excess Plan is to provide benefits in excess of the limits imposed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (footnote 1) for participants in the qualified defined-benefit plan.

### **Accounting Methodologies**

The Medicare contracts require CDS to calculate Excess Plan costs in accordance with the FAR and CAS 412 and 413. The FAR and the CAS require that the costs for nonqualified plans be measured under either the accrual method or the pay-as-you-go method. Under the accrual method, allowable costs are based on the annual contributions that the employer deposits into its trust fund. For nonqualified plans that are not funded through the use of a funding agency, costs are to be accounted for under the pay-as-you-go method. This method is based on the actual benefits paid to participants, which are comprised of lump-sum payments and annuity payments. CDS claimed Excess Plan costs on an accrual basis.

### **Incurred Cost Proposal Audits**

At CMS's request, CohnReznick, LLP (CohnReznick), and Kearney & Company, P.C. (Kearney), performed audits of the ICPs that CDS submitted for CYs 2017 through 2021. The objectives of these ICP audits were to determine whether costs were allowable in accordance with applicable Federal regulations.

For our current audit, we relied on the CohnReznick and Kearney ICP audit findings and recommendations when computing the allowable Excess Plan costs discussed in this report.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Partial Medicare segment allocates costs to three Medicare segments: Palmetto, CDS, and CGS Administrators, LLC (CGS).

<sup>3</sup> For each CY, each Medicare contractor submits to CMS an ICP that reports the Medicare direct and indirect costs that the contractor incurred during that year. The ICP and supporting data provide the basis for the CMS Contracting Officer and the Medicare contractor to determine the final billing rates for allowable Medicare costs.

We incorporated the results of the CohnReznick and Kearney ICP audits into our computations of the audited indirect cost rates, and ultimately the Excess Plan costs claimed, for the contracts subject to the FAR. CMS will use our report on allowable Excess Plan costs, as well as the CohnReznick and Kearney ICP audit reports, to determine the final indirect cost rates and the total allowable contract costs for CDS for CYs 2017 through 2021. The cognizant Contracting Officer will perform a final settlement with the contractor to determine the final indirect cost rates. These rates ultimately determine the final costs of each contract.<sup>4</sup>

## **HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS AUDIT**

We reviewed \$558,675 of Excess Plan costs that CDS claimed for Medicare reimbursement on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix A contains details of our audit scope and methodology.

## **FINDING**

CDS claimed Excess Plan costs of \$558,675 for Medicare reimbursement, through its ICPs, for CYs 2017 through 2021; however, we determined that the allowable Excess Plan costs during this period were \$649,916.<sup>5</sup> The difference, \$91,241, represented allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs that CDS did not claim on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021. CDS did not claim these allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs primarily because it used incorrect indirect cost rates when claiming those costs for Medicare reimbursement.

## **ALLOCABLE COMPANION DATA SERVICES, LLC, EXCESS PLAN MEDICARE SEGMENT COSTS UNDERSTATED**

During this audit, we calculated the allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs for CYs 2017 through 2021 in accordance with Federal requirements. We determined that the allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs for CYs 2017 through 2021 totaled \$725,902. CDS reported that its allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs, as identified in its actuarial

---

<sup>4</sup> In accordance with FAR 42.705-1(b)(5)(ii) and FAR 42.705-1(b)(5)(iii)(B), the cognizant Contracting Officer shall “[p]repare a written indirect cost rate agreement conforming to the requirements of the contracts” and perform a “[r]econciliation of all costs questioned, with identification of items and amounts allowable or disallowed in the final settlement,” respectively.

<sup>5</sup> These claimed and allowable Excess Plan costs were associated with the cost reimbursement and variable time and material portions of the contracts.

computations, totaled \$673,456. Therefore, CDS understated the allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs by \$52,446. This understatement occurred because of differences in the calculations of the assignable Excess Plan costs. More specifically, differences in the amortization calculations resulted in different assignable Excess Plan cost calculations.

Table 1 shows the differences between the allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs that we determined for CYs 2017 through 2021 and the allocable Excess Plan Medicare segment costs that CDS calculated for the same time period.

**Table 1: Allocable Excess Plan Medicare Segment Costs**

<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Allocable Per Audit</b>	<b>Per CDS</b>	<b>Difference</b>
2017	\$130,308	\$122,810	\$7,498
2018	155,153	150,401	4,752
2019	124,032	105,556	18,476
2020	139,525	122,883	16,642
2021	176,884	171,806	5,078
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$725,902</b>	<b>\$673,456</b>	<b>\$52,446</b>

#### **ALLOCABLE EXCESS PLAN PARTIAL MEDICARE SEGMENT COSTS UNDERSTATED**

During this audit, we calculated the allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs for CYs 2017 through 2021 in accordance with Federal requirements.<sup>6</sup> We determined that the allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs for CYs 2017 through 2021 totaled \$11,475,801. CDS reported that its allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs, as identified in its actuarial computations, totaled \$8,324,797. Therefore, CDS understated the allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs by \$3,151,004. This understatement occurred because of differences in the calculations of the assignable Excess Plan costs. More specifically, differences in the amortization calculations resulted in different assignable Excess Plan costs.

Table 2 on the following page shows the differences between the allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs that we determined for CYs 2017 through 2021 and the allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment costs that CDS calculated for the same time period.

---

<sup>6</sup> The Partial Medicare segment allocates costs to all three BCBS South Carolina subsidiaries: Palmetto, CDS, and CGS (footnote 2). The Excess Plan Partial Medicare segment cost identified here is the total before allocations are made to the subsidiaries. These allocable costs are used in the determination of the indirect cost rates and ultimately the Excess Plan costs claimed by CDS.

**Table 2: Allocable Excess Plan Partial Medicare Segment Costs**

<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Allocable Per Audit</b>	<b>Per Partial Medicare</b>	<b>Difference</b>
2017	\$1,924,857	\$1,534,185	\$390,672
2018	2,035,410	1,599,532	435,878
2019	2,263,929	1,287,764	976,165
2020	2,616,271	1,742,632	873,639
2021	2,635,334	2,160,684	474,650
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$11,475,801</b>	<b>\$8,324,797</b>	<b>\$3,151,004</b>

#### **CALCULATION OF ALLOWABLE EXCESS PLAN COSTS**

We used the allocable Excess Plan costs for both the CDS segment and the Partial Medicare segment (Appendix C) to adjust the indirect cost rates (i.e., the fringe benefit and general and administrative rates) to determine the allowable Excess Plan costs for Medicare reimbursement for CYs 2017 through 2021.

CDS claimed Medicare Excess Plan costs of \$558,675 on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021. After incorporating the results of the CohnReznick and Kearney ICP audits and our adjustments to the indirect cost rates, we determined that the allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs for CYs 2017 through 2021 were \$649,916. Thus, CDS did not claim \$91,241 of allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021. This underclaim occurred specifically because CDS based its claim for Medicare reimbursement on incorrect allocable Excess Plan costs, and ultimately used incorrect indirect cost rates on the ICPs it used to calculate the allowable Excess Plan costs.

We calculated the allowable Medicare Excess Plan costs based on separately computed CAS-based Excess Plan costs in accordance with CAS 412 and 413. For details on the Federal requirements, see Appendix B.

Table 3 on the following page compares the Medicare Excess Plan costs that we calculated (using our adjusted indirect cost rates) to the Medicare Excess Plan costs that CDS claimed for Medicare reimbursement for CYs 2017 through 2021.



**Table 3: Comparison of Allowable Excess Plan Costs and Claimed Excess Plan Costs<sup>7</sup>**

<b>Calendar Year</b>	<b>Allowable Per Audit</b>	<b>Per CDS</b>	<b>Difference</b>
2017	\$139,552	\$107,667	\$31,885
2018	128,819	120,877	7,942
2019	106,222	80,456	25,766
2020	132,791	115,516	17,275
2021	142,532	134,159	8,373
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$649,916</b>	<b>\$558,675</b>	<b>\$91,241</b>

### **RECOMMENDATION**

We recommend that Companion Data Services, LLC, work with CMS to ensure that its final settlement of contract costs reflects an increase in Medicare Excess Plan costs of \$91,241 for CYs 2017 through 2021.

### **AUDITEE COMMENTS**

In written comments on our draft report, CDS, through its parent company, BCBS South Carolina, concurred with our finding but did not specifically indicate concurrence or nonconcurrence with our recommendation. CDS said that it would work with CMS to ensure the final settlement and collection of contract costs.

CDS's comments appear in their entirety as Appendix D.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Our calculation of allowable costs does not appear in this report because those indirect cost rate computations that CDS used in its ICPs, and to which we referred as part of our audit, are proprietary information.

<sup>8</sup> Although BCBS South Carolina, of which CDS is a subsidiary, provided written comments on this draft report, for consistency we associate these comments with CDS.

## **APPENDIX A: AUDIT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

### **SCOPE**

We reviewed \$558,675 of Excess Plan costs that CDS claimed for Medicare reimbursement on its ICPs for CYs 2017 through 2021.

Achieving our objective did not require that we review CDS's overall internal control structures. We reviewed the internal controls related to the Excess Plan costs that were included in CDS's ICPs and ultimately used as the basis for Medicare reimbursement, to ensure that these costs were allocable in accordance with the CAS and allowable in accordance with the FAR.

We performed our audit work from August 2023 to June 2025.

### **METHODOLOGY**

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed the portions of the FAR, CAS, and Medicare contracts applicable to this audit;
- reviewed information provided by CDS to identify the amounts of Excess Plan costs used in CDS's calculation of indirect cost rates for CYs 2017 through 2021;
- used information that CDS's actuarial consulting firms provided, including information on the Excess Plan's assets, liabilities, normal costs, contributions, benefit payments, investment earnings, and administrative expenses;
- examined CDS's accounting records, Excess Plan documents, and annual actuarial valuation reports;
- determined the extent to which CDS funded CAS-based Excess Plan costs with contributions to the trust and accumulated prepayment credits;
- reviewed the results of the CohnReznick and Kearney ICP audits and incorporated those results into our calculations of allowable Excess Plan costs;
- engaged the CMS Office of the Actuary, which provides technical actuarial advice, to calculate the allocable Excess Plan Medicare costs based on the CAS;
- reviewed and verified the CMS actuaries' methodology and calculations and used this information to calculate the Excess Plan costs for the CDS Medicare segment for CYs 2017 through 2021; and

- provided the results of our audit to CDS officials.

We performed this audit in conjunction with the following audits and used the information obtained during these audits:

- *Companion Data Services, LLC, Did Not Claim Some Allowable Medicare Pension Costs Through Its Incurred Cost Proposals (OAS-25-07-022);*
- *Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina Overstated Its Excess Plan Partial Medicare Segment Pension Assets as of January 1, 2022 (OAS-25-07-026);*
- *Companion Data Services, LLC, Overstated Its Excess Plan Medicare Segment Pension Assets as of January 1, 2022 (OAS-25-07-027);*
- *Companion Data Services, LLC, Claimed Some Unallowable Medicare Postretirement Benefit Costs Through Its Incurred Cost Proposals (A-07-24-00641); and*
- *Companion Data Services, LLC, Claimed Some Unallowable Medicare Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan III Costs Through Its Incurred Cost Proposals (A-07-24-00644).*

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

## **APPENDIX B: FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO REIMBURSEMENT OF NONQUALIFIED PLAN COSTS**

### **FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

Federal regulations (FAR 31.205-6(j)) require Medicare contractors to measure, assign, and allocate the costs of all defined-benefit pension plans in accordance with CAS 412 and 413.

Federal regulations (FAR 52.216-7(a)(1)) address the invoicing requirements and the allowability of payments as determined by the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR subpart 31.2.

Federal regulations (CAS 412) (as amended) address the determination and measurement of pension cost components. These regulations also address the assignment of pension costs to appropriate accounting periods.

Federal regulations (CAS 413) (as amended) address the valuation of pension assets, allocation of pension costs to segments of an organization, adjustment of pension costs for actuarial gains and losses, and assignment of gains and losses to cost accounting periods.

### **MEDICARE CONTRACTS**

The Medicare contracts require CDS to submit invoices in accordance with FAR 52.216-7, "Allowable Cost & Payment." (See our citation to FAR 52.216-7(a)(1) in "Federal Regulations" above.)

**APPENDIX C: ALLOCABLE MEDICARE EXCESS PLAN COSTS FOR  
COMPANION DATA SERVICES, LLC,  
FOR CALENDAR YEARS 2017 THROUGH 2021**

Date	Description		Total Company	Other Segment	Palmetto Segment	CDS Segment	Partial Medicare Segment	Non Medicare Segment
2017	Contributions <u>1/</u>		\$3,069,116	\$3,069,116	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Discount for Interest <u>2/</u>		(\$101,265)	(\$101,265)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
January 1, 2017	Present Value Contributions <u>3/</u>		\$2,967,851	\$2,967,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Prepayment Credit Applied <u>4/</u>		\$12,506,042	\$5,776,700	\$310,031	\$130,308	\$1,924,857	\$4,364,146
	Present Value of Funding <u>5/</u>		\$15,473,893	\$8,744,551	\$310,031	\$130,308	\$1,924,857	\$4,364,146
January 1, 2017	CAS Funding Target <u>6/</u>		\$12,506,042	\$5,776,700	\$310,031	\$130,308	\$1,924,857	\$4,364,146
	Percentage Funded <u>7/</u>			100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Funded Pension Cost <u>8/</u>			\$5,776,700	\$310,031	\$130,308	\$1,924,857	\$4,364,146
	Allowable Interest <u>9/</u>			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2017	CY Allocable Pension Cost <u>10/</u>			\$5,776,700	\$310,031	\$130,308	\$1,924,857	\$4,364,146

Date	Description		Total Company	Other Segment	Palmetto Segment	CDS Segment	Partial Medicare Segment	Non Medicare Segment
2018	Contributions		\$47,010,084	\$47,010,084	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Discount for Interest		(\$2,039,057)	(\$2,039,057)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
January 1, 2018	Present Value Contributions		\$44,971,027	\$44,971,027	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Prepayment Credit Applied		\$12,922,357	\$5,642,137	\$369,709	\$155,153	\$2,035,410	\$4,719,948
	Present Value of Funding		\$57,893,384	\$50,613,164	\$369,709	\$155,153	\$2,035,410	\$4,719,948
January 1, 2018	CAS Funding Target		\$12,922,357	\$5,642,137	\$369,709	\$155,153	\$2,035,410	\$4,719,948
	Percentage Funded			100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Funded Pension Cost			\$5,642,137	\$369,709	\$155,153	\$2,035,410	\$4,719,948
	Allowable Interest			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2018	CY Allocable Pension Cost			\$5,642,137	\$369,709	\$155,153	\$2,035,410	\$4,719,948

Date	Description	Total Company	Other Segment	Palmetto Segment	CDS Segment	Partial Medicare Segment	Non Medicare Segment
2019	Contributions	\$4,278,702	\$4,278,702	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Discount for Interest	(\$141,174)	(\$141,174)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
January 1, 2019	Present Value Contributions	\$4,137,528	\$4,137,528	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Prepayment Credit Applied	\$13,437,492	\$5,483,362	\$423,550	\$124,032	\$2,263,929	\$5,142,619
	Present Value of Funding	\$17,575,020	\$9,620,890	\$423,550	\$124,032	\$2,263,929	\$5,142,619
January 1, 2019	CAS Funding Target	\$13,437,492	\$5,483,362	\$423,550	\$124,032	\$2,263,929	\$5,142,619
	Percentage Funded		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Funded Pension Cost		\$5,483,362	\$423,550	\$124,032	\$2,263,929	\$5,142,619
	Allowable Interest		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	CY Allocable Pension Cost		\$5,483,362	\$423,550	\$124,032	\$2,263,929	\$5,142,619

Date	Description	Total Company	Other Segment	Palmetto Segment	CDS Segment	Partial Medicare Segment	Non Medicare Segment
2020	Contributions	\$10,027,431	\$10,027,431	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Discount for Interest	(\$380,911)	(\$380,911)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
January 1, 2020	Present Value Contributions	\$9,646,520	\$9,646,520	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Prepayment Credit Applied	\$15,978,064	\$6,802,818	\$482,802	\$139,525	\$2,616,271	\$5,936,648
	Present Value of Funding	\$25,624,584	\$16,449,338	\$482,802	\$139,525	\$2,616,271	\$5,936,648
January 1, 2020	CAS Funding Target	\$15,978,064	\$6,802,818	\$482,802	\$139,525	\$2,616,271	\$5,936,648
	Percentage Funded		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Funded Pension Cost		\$6,802,818	\$482,802	\$139,525	\$2,616,271	\$5,936,648
	Allowable Interest		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	CY Allocable Pension Cost		\$6,802,818	\$482,802	\$139,525	\$2,616,271	\$5,936,648

Date	Description	Total Company	Other Segment	Palmetto Segment	CDS Segment	Partial Medicare Segment	Non Medicare Segment
2021	Contributions	\$16,460,433	\$16,460,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Discount for Interest	(\$652,927)	(\$652,927)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
January 1, 2021	Present Value Contributions	\$15,807,506	\$15,807,506	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Prepayment Credit Applied	\$15,570,922	\$6,699,839	\$438,365	\$176,884	\$2,635,334	\$5,620,500
	Present Value of Funding	\$31,378,428	\$22,507,345	\$438,365	\$176,884	\$2,635,334	\$5,620,500
January 1, 2021	CAS Funding Target	\$15,570,922	\$6,699,839	\$438,365	\$176,884	\$2,635,334	\$5,620,500
	Percentage Funded		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Funded Pension Cost		\$6,699,839	\$438,365	\$176,884	\$2,635,334	\$5,620,500
	Allowable Interest		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	CY Allocable Pension Cost		\$6,699,839	\$438,365	\$176,884	\$2,635,334	\$5,620,500

#### ENDNOTES

- 1/ We obtained these Total Company contribution amounts and dates of deposit from the Excess Plan trust statement. These contributions include deposits made during the CY. We determined the contributions allocated to the Medicare segment during the pension segmentation reviews (OAS-25-07-026, OAS-25-07-027, and OAS-25-07-028). The amounts shown for the Other segment represent the difference between the Total Company and Medicare segments.
- 2/ We subtracted the interest that was included in the contributions deposited after the beginning of the valuation year to discount the contributions back to their beginning-of-the-year value. For purposes of this Appendix, we computed the interest as the difference between the present value of contributions (at the CAS valuation interest rate) and actual contribution amounts.
- 3/ The present value of contributions is the value of the contributions discounted from the date of deposit back to the first day of the CY.
- 4/ A prepayment credit represents the accumulated value of premature funding from the previous year(s). A prepayment credit is created when contributions, plus interest, exceed the end-of-year CAS funding target. A prepayment credit is carried forward, with interest, to fund future CAS pension costs.
- 5/ The present value of funding represents the present value of contributions plus prepayment credits. This is the amount of funding that is available to cover the CAS funding target measured at the first day of the CY.
- 6/ The CAS funding target must be funded by contributions made during the current accounting period or prepaid contributions to satisfy the funding requirement of the FAR 31.205-6(j)(2)(i).

7/ The percentage of costs funded is a measure of the portion of the CAS funding target that was funded during the CY. Because any funding in excess of the CAS funding target is accounted for as a prepayment in accordance with CAS 412.50(c)(1), the funded ratio may not exceed 100 percent. We computed the percentage funded as the present value of funding divided by the CAS funding target. For purposes of illustration, the percentage funded has been rounded to four decimal places.

8/ We computed the funded CAS-based pension cost as the CAS funding target multiplied by the percent funded.

9/ We assumed that interest on the funded CAS-based pension cost, less the prepayment credit, accrues in the same proportion as the interest on contributions bears to the present value of contributions. However, we limited the interest in accordance with FAR 31.205-6(j)(2)(iii), which does not permit the allowable interest to exceed the interest that would accrue if the CAS funding target, less the prepayment credit, were funded in four equal installments deposited within 30 days after the end of the quarter.

10/ The CY allocable CAS pension cost is the amount of pension cost that may be allocated for contract cost purposes.





BlueCross BlueShield of South Carolina  
 I-20 at Alpine Road  
 Columbia SC 29219-0001  
 803.788.0222

SouthCarolinaBlues.com

July 8, 2025

James I. Korn  
 Regional Inspector General for Audit Services  
 Office of Audit Services, Region VII  
 1201 Walnut Street, Suite 1309  
 Kansas City, MO 64106

Report Numbers:

A-07-23-00638	OAS-25-07-020	OAS-25-07-022
OAS-25-07-023	OAS-25-07-026	OAS-25-07-027
OAS-25-07-028	OAS-25-07-046	OAS-25-07-047
OAS-25-07-052	OAS-25-07-051	

Dear Mr. Korn:

We are responding to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, draft reports referenced above which pertain to the BlueCross BlueShield South Carolina sponsored qualified-defined benefit pension plan and excess plan.

We concur with findings in each report, we will work with our actuarial firm to ensure we implement the recommendations prospectively beginning with calendar year 2025, consistent with the timing of receipt of reports referenced above. The findings collectively resulted in underbilling costs for each of our companies for calendar years 2017-2021. We will work with CMS to ensure final settlement and collection of these costs.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the recommendations. Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information regarding our response.

Sincerely,

/Jennifer Capell/

Jennifer Capell  
 Vice President, Corporate Controller  
 BlueCross BlueShield South Carolina

Cc: Bruce Hughes, Celerian Group  
 Lori Hair, BlueCross BlueShield South Carolina  
 Jamie Keller, Companion Data Services, LLC  
 Michael Logan, CGS Administrators, LLC  
 Nancy Lybrand, Palmetto GBA, LLC

# Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse

OIG Hotline Operations accepts tips and complaints from all sources about potential fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement in HHS programs. Hotline tips are incredibly valuable, and we appreciate your efforts to help us stamp out fraud, waste, and abuse.



**TIPS.HHS.GOV**

**Phone: 1-800-447-8477**

**TTY: 1-800-377-4950**

## Who Can Report?

Anyone who suspects fraud, waste, and abuse should report their concerns to the OIG Hotline. OIG addresses complaints about misconduct and mismanagement in HHS programs, fraudulent claims submitted to Federal health care programs such as Medicare, abuse or neglect in nursing homes, and many more. [Learn more about complaints OIG investigates.](#)

## How Does It Help?

Every complaint helps OIG carry out its mission of overseeing HHS programs and protecting the individuals they serve. By reporting your concerns to the OIG Hotline, you help us safeguard taxpayer dollars and ensure the success of our oversight efforts.

## Who Is Protected?

Anyone may request confidentiality. The Privacy Act, the Inspector General Act of 1978, and other applicable laws protect complainants. The Inspector General Act states that the Inspector General shall not disclose the identity of an HHS employee who reports an allegation or provides information without the employee's consent, unless the Inspector General determines that disclosure is unavoidable during the investigation. By law, Federal employees may not take or threaten to take a personnel action because of [whistleblowing](#) or the exercise of a lawful appeal, complaint, or grievance right. Non-HHS employees who report allegations may also specifically request confidentiality.

# Stay In Touch

Follow HHS-OIG for up to date news and publications.



OIGatHHS



HHS Office of Inspector General

[Subscribe To Our Newsletter](#)

[OIG.HHS.GOV](https://oig.hhs.gov)

## Contact Us

For specific contact information, please [visit us online](#).

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Office of Inspector General  
Public Affairs  
330 Independence Ave., SW  
Washington, DC 20201

Email: [Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov](mailto:Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov)