DIGITAL HATE REVIEW

Referee Guide: Expectations for Peer Reviewers

Thank you for supporting The Digital Hate Review (DHR) as a peer reviewer. The following guidelines outline our expectations to ensure reviews are rigorous, respectful, and timely.

1. Review Process

- Double-blind: Reviewer and author identities remain anonymized.
- Timeline: Standard turnaround is 2–4 weeks per review.
- Honorarium: $100 per completed review (available upon request).

2. Core Principles

- Confidentiality — Manuscripts and materials must not be shared.
- Respect — Critique ideas, not authors.
- Constructiveness — Offer clear, actionable feedback.
- Fairness — Evaluate scholarly merit, not affiliations or perspectives.
- Disclosure — Recuse yourself if any conflict of interest exists.

3. Review Content

Each review should include:
- A concise summary of the work's aims and contribution
- Key strengths and weaknesses
- Methodological or conceptual concerns (if any)
- Specific suggestions for revision or clarification
- A recommendation *(accept / minor revision / major revision / reject)*

4. Ethics & Integrity

DHR adheres to COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) guidelines. If you suspect plagiarism, research misconduct, or undeclared conflicts of interest, please notify the editors confidentially.

5. Reviewer Recognition

- Reviewers may receive ORCID credit and optional certificates of review.
- Your feedback directly shapes high-quality, interdisciplinary, and policy relevant scholarship.

For questions or to confirm availability, please contact us at www.DigitalHateReview.com.

Thank you for helping uphold the rigor, integrity, and impact of **The Digital Hate Review**.